## **Katrin Sharp**

From: Lisa Enourato

Sent: Monday, November 2, 2020 2:09 PM

To: AllCouncil
Cc: Katrin Sharp
Subject: FW: Parking

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Please see public comment below.

## LISA ENOURATO | CITY OF KETCHUM

Public Affairs & Administrative Services Manager
P.O. Box 2315 | 480 East Ave. N. | Ketchum, ID 83340
o: 208.726.7803 | f: 208.726.7812
lenourato@ketchumidaho.org | www.ketchumidaho.org

From: Amanda Breen <ABreen@ketchumidaho.org>

Sent: Monday, November 02, 2020 1:28 PM

To: Lisa Enourato <LEnourato@ketchumidaho.org>; Jade Riley <jriley@ketchumidaho.org>

Subject: Fwd: Parking

Public comment below that was sent to my office email.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

Get Outlook for Android

From: Amanda Breen <amanda@amandabreenlaw.com>

**Sent:** Monday, November 2, 2020 1:26:44 PM **To:** Amanda Breen < <u>ABreen@ketchumidaho.org</u>>

Subject: Fwd: Parking

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Andy Parnes

Date: Mon, Nov 2, 2020, 1:20 PM

Subject: Parking

To: Amanda Breen <a href="mailto:amanda@amandabreenlaw.com">amanda@amandabreenlaw.com</a>>

I am writing to you directly because I just saw the article in the Mt Express just now and when I went to the agenda the time for comments had already past. I am not sure why the staff originally recommended second ave as the place for odd/even parking and then it is now moved to First Ave. between 5th and 6th streets. Honestly, I am not surprised in retrospect because that is where the city permitted 36 (38?) units with no parking. Since that time, I have been waiting for the city to create an exception, not for cutting down DUI's etc. but to help the developer. The council has to stop doing this. If DUI is the reason, put the parking close to main street or in the lot around the corner from Whiskeys. My office is on the next block and I am concerned about snow removal. This plan allows the renters the ability to park right in front of their building almost the entire year. In the long run, this will only lead to more demand and higher rents for this particular project. I am all in favor of low cost housing but not at the expense of changing long established and well thought-out

rules. Why should this one block be selected when there are other rental units varound town without parking that will not get this benefit.

If you are going to change this rule, please at least consider a site closer to main street which is consistent with the "stated" goals. This is a sham. At least the council should be honest about the real reason this is now on First Avenue.

Thanks for taking the time to review this and the work you do for the city. Please feel free to pass this on.

**Andy Parnes**